Novato Uniffed School District *Business Services*

TO: Board of Trustees

FROM: Dr. Shalee Cunningham, Superintendent

Karen Maloney, Assistant Superintendent - Business & Operations

DATE: June 23, 2015

RE: Discussion/Action: Approve the 2015-2016 Local Control Accountability

Plan (LCAP)

Objective

Obtain Board approval of the 2015-2016 Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP).

Background

Starting with the 2014-2015 year, all California school districts are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) to accompany the District budget. This is a three-year plan, updated annually.

The LCAP is designed to be a comprehensive planning tool that outlines the District's goals with specific action plans and identified costs. Districts are required to use a State designated LCAP template, and the format cannot be changed.

District goals must address three (3) required State Priorities: **A) Conditions of Learning, B) Pupil Outcomes and C) Engagement,** and meet **eight (8) essential state elements.** The Novato Unified School District (NUSD) 2014-2015 LCAP included twelve (12) goals. These goals were combined and renumbered to more closely match the three (3) State areas, resulting in ten (10) goals for the NUSD 2015-2016 year.

The LCAP requires the District to conduct community, parent and student engagement. The engagement process can be found on page 3 and is Section 1 of the LCAP. As this is a three-year plan, Section 2 contains the goals, actions, expenditures, and program indicators. Each of the ten goals has a plan for 2015-2016, 2016-2017, and 2017-2018. The Annual Update for NUSD's 2014-2015 plan year begins on page 43.

Section 3, starting on page 72, provides information on the uses of the Supplemental grant funds and proportionality. NUSD does not receive a Concentration Grant as the student population identified as English Learners, Low–Income or Foster Youth falls below 55% for the District as a whole. Supplemental LCFF funds for 2015-2016 are estimated at \$2,997,954. The District is required to demonstrate that increased or improved services from these supplemental funds are 5.35% of Core instructional services. As shown on **TABLES A and B** (attached), NUSD is providing 11.54% supplemental services as compared to core instructional services.

As the LCAP is a three-year plan, goals and activities implemented in 2014-2015 are continued into the 2015-2016 plan year. New supplemental services for the 2015-2016 year include:

- A new English Language Development (ELD) teacher at Hill Educational Center
- An additional school nurse
- An English Language (EL) Coordinator
- Expanded Camp University (summer program)
- Contract for services with the National Equity Project
- Contract for services for English Language Development Program (Kevin Clark)
- Increased services related to Mental Health counselors
- Contribution of the 40 cents per meal for Free and Reduced Meal Program

See TABLES A and B (attached) for the full list of supplemental services being provided.

The required Public Hearing was held during the June 16, 2015 Board meeting. The District received one public comment, which did not necessitate any changes to the 2015-2016 LCAP.

Funding Source/Cost

General Fund/\$75,341,638

Recommendation

The Superintendent and staff recommend approval of the 2015-2016 Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP).

Local Control Accountability Plan Supplemental Services

2014/15 and 2015/16

Core Program	2014-15	2015-16
Number of "Core " Classroom teachers - General Education	332.4	335
Number of Number of "Core " Classroom teachers - Special Education	41.4	43.4
Number of "Core" Nurses - General Education	1.2	1.2
Number of "Core" Nurse - Special Education	1.6	1.6
Total "Core" Certificated Staff	376.6	381.2
Supplemental Services		
Certificated		
Instructional Coaches	6.6	6
Extra teachers due to reduction of class size in 4/5 grades	4	4
Extra sections at Secondary due to expanded EL classes	3.7	3.2
New English language Development (ELD) teacher at Hill	0	1
Nurse	0	1
English learner (EL) Coordinator	0	1
Learning Center Intervention Specialist	2.8	2.8
Reading Specialist	0.6	0.6
ELD Intervention Specialists	1.5	1.7
Literacy Coach	3	3
AVID	3	3
ROTC	2	2
Other Staff (Intervention)	7	2
Classified		
Community Liaisons	4.6	4.6
Intervention Para educator	<u>3.04</u>	<u>3.04</u>
Total Supplemental Staff	<u>41.84</u>	<u>38.94</u>
Supplemental as compared to Core	11.11%	10.22%
Camp University Summer Program)	\$21,830	\$85,000
Newcomer Program (Summer Program)	\$40,750	\$44,730
Algebra Academy (Summer Program)	\$5,000	\$5,000
Contractors		
Intervention Specialist (North Bay Security)	\$55,111	\$55,111
National Equity Program	\$12,000	\$88,000
English Language Development Program	•	,
and teacher training (Kevin Clark Consulting)	\$19,000	\$65,500
Mental Health Counselors	\$216,650	\$245,850
Contribution of reduced fee (40 cents) for		
Free and Reduced Meal Program	\$0	\$40,000

Local Control Accountability Plan Supplemental Services 2015-2016

TABLE B

\$3,300,131

2015-2016		Avg Salary
Core Program	2015-16	\$75,000
Number of "Core " Classroom teachers - General Education	335	
Number of Number of "Core " Classroom teachers - Special Education	43.4	
Number of "Core" Nurses - General Education	1.2	
Number of "Core" Nurse - Special Education	1.6	
Total "Core" Certificated Staff	381.2	<u>\$28,590,000</u>
Supplemental Services		
Certificated		
Instructional Coaches	6	\$450,000
Extra teachers due to reduction of class size in 4/5 grades	4	\$300,000
Extra sections at Secondary due to expanded EL classes	3.2	\$240,000
New English language Development (ELD) teacher at Hill	1	\$75,000
Nurse	1	\$85,500
English learner (EL) Coordinator	1	\$90,000
Learning Center Intervention Specialist	2.8	\$210,000
Reading Specialist	0.6	\$45,000
ELD Intervention Specialists	1.7	\$127,500
Literacy Coach	3	\$225,000
AVID	3	\$225,000
ROTC	2	\$150,000
Other Staff (Intervention)	2	\$150,000
Classified		
Community Liaisons	4.6	\$206,740
Intervention Para educator	<u>3.04</u>	\$91,200
Total Supplemental Staff	<u>38.94</u>	<u>\$2,670,940</u>
Supplemental as compared to Core	10.22%	11.54%
Camp University (Summer Program)	\$85,000	\$85,000
Newcomer Program (Summer Program)	\$44,730	\$44,730
Algebra Academy (Summer Program)	\$5,000	\$5,000
Contractors		
Intervention Specialist (North Bay Security)	\$55,111	\$55,111
National Equity Program	\$88,000	\$88,000
English Language Development Program	7 - 2,2 - 2	, ,
and teacher training (Kevin Clark Consulting)	\$65,500	\$65,500
Mental Health Counselors	\$245,850	\$245,850
Contribution of reduced fee (40 cents) for	+ = -5,550	+ = .5,655
Free and Reduced Meal Program	\$40,000	\$40,000

Total Supplementary Expenditures

Novato Unified School District 2015-2016 Goals and Action Items

Goal	Action Items
	Instructional coaches to provide imbedded professional development in the implementation of CCSS
1	Purchase of Common Core State Standards materials
Goal 1: Effectively Implement the new California State Standards	Increase the participation level of sub-groups in specialized programs such as STEM, AVID, GATE, MSA, AP courses
	Community Liaisons
	Career Counselors at Middle School
	Maintain level of instructional leaders to guide instruction
	Class sizes will be monitored
	Grades 4/5 will be moved to an average of 27 (Increase of 4 FTE certificated)
	Increase student achievement through increased attendance (Aeries) and Monitor attendance/absenteeism (SART/SARB)
Goal 2: Provide instruction that	Community Liaisons
ensures that all students have the opportunity to make one year's	Continued vendor relationship with North Bay Security to provide data, home visits, and intervention
growth in one year's time	Provide additional sections at secondary level for additional English Learner classes, additional ELD support classes at the high schools, and a 1.0 ELD teacher for the Hill Education Center
	Provide Special Education services to students with Individualized Education Plans (IEP's)
	Provide ongoing professional development
Goal 3: Increase professional development to elevate student	Instructional coaches to provide staff development in the use of technology in the classroom
achievement and engagement,	Access to Comcast reduced internet service for academic support at home
cultural competency and proficiency	Ongoing professional development in GLAD, SIOP, the National Equity Project, and diversity
	Improve pupil achievement scores on learning assessment among all subgroups including high need students groups.
	Teachers will implement the CCSS curriculum and assess student progress regularly based on CCSS and state assessments
	Partnership with Bay Area Writing Project & Silicon Valley Math Initiative
Goal 4: Assess students regularly to	Continue to update and monitor individual student information for testing and compliance reporting
evaluate levels of proficiency and	Teacher release time for collaboration focused common assessments
determine individual learning needs for targeted intervention	Maintain early intervention practices for English Learners and Special Education students
	Purchase Aeries Analytics
	Expand the implementation of MAP at elementary and middle schools
	Provide Site Tech Mentors to assist with technology integration in the classroom
	Provide IT support for technology integration, data collection and analysis, and the maintenance of computer hardware and software

Novato Unified School District 2015-2016 Goals and Action Items

Goal	Action Items
Goal 5: Identify, attract, retain, and	Review quarterly and continue monitor the Credential Monitoring Report (MCOE)
build the capacity of teachers,	Continue to compare salaries and benefits to comparative and regional districts in order to maintain a competitive salary and benefit schedule
provide an innovative educational experience for all students	Seek and hire more Bilingual employees through attendance at hiring fairs at strategic location
	Provide conversational Spanish classes for employees
	Increase the maintenance of bathrooms
Goal 6: Increase the frequency of maintenance and update facilities to	Maintain clean and safe facilities
provide a clean, safe learning	Analyze data to determine training and staffing needs
environment	Open facilities to families who need additional instruction or the use of technology (computers, etc.)
	Analyze data to determine if current level of service is adequate to meet the needs of all students
Goal 7: Provide access to a broad	Provide outreach and training parents regarding the use of Naviance to monitor and support student post secondary plans
course of study and strengthen the	Expand and enhance CTE programs at the secondary level
college and career readiness program for all students	Community Liaisons
program for all students	Implement Camp University, EL Newcomer Academy, and Algebra Academy
	AVID Program at secondary level
	Early Intervention Program
Goal 8: Increase parent	Identify preferred methods of communication for all families
participation by engaging them in	Conduct parent surveys regarding communication, pupil engagement and safety
their child's learning activities and providing parent education	Community Liaisons
opportunities to support student	Bilingual Tutors
learning	Provide outreach and training parents regarding the use of Naviance to monitor and support student post secondary plans
	Continue and expand partnerships with community agencies to ensure youth and families are connected to safety net services
	Community Liaisons
Goal 9: Strengthen community	Social-Emotional Counseling Services
partnerships to provide additional services to students and families	Partnerships with YMCA and Boys and Girls Club of San Francisco
services to students and families	Increase services to students in need to provide essentials such as food, afterschool opportunities and other meaningful family services
	Continue San Francisco and Marin Food Bank Program
	Increase family involvement through parent outreach and support to increase
	student's learning
	Community Liaisons
Goal 10: Meet the needs of our underserved population with	Increased number of sections at secondary level to provide specialized instruction
additional staff, resources and	EL Coordinator
engagement	Bilingual Tutors
	Consultants (Kevin Clark) to inform EL program
	National Equity Project

§ 15497.5. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template.

Introduction:

LEA: Novato Unified School District Contact: Karen Maloney, Asst. Supt. Business & Operations kmaloney@nusd.org (415) 493-4260 LCAP Year: 2015

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

State Priorities

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

A. Conditions of Learning:

Basic: degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

Implementation of State Standards: implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

Course access: pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only): coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

Foster youth (for county offices of education only): coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

B. Pupil Outcomes:

Pupil achievement: performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

Other pupil outcomes: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

C. Engagement:

Parental involvement: efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each schoolsite, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

Pupil engagement: school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

School climate: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

Instructions: Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

Involvement Process		Impact on LCAP
February 3, 2015	Presentation of LCAP Data Matrix with consolidated and re-ordered goals to the Board of Trustees	Identification of key data to be collected and monitored processes identified that support collection of data and goal activity
February 5, 2015	Presentation of LCAP Data Matrix to the Site Principals and Central Office administrative staff	None
March 1 – March 31, 2015	Community Survey distributed to families and students 1,069 responses in 2015 as compared to 768 in 2014 with 47% responses from Students	Refinement of activities under LCAP Goals Redesign of Community Survey for 2016-17
March 6, 2015	Presentation of LCAP Data Matrix to the PTSA	Awareness of LCAP by parent community
March 10, 2015	Presentation of LCAP Data Matrix to DELAC	Awareness of LCFF and LCAP by the DELAC community Suggestions were: Homework assistance, Bilingual tutors, Preschool, and English classes for parents of English learners
March 26, 2015	Presentation of Data Matrix, Survey Results and Budget information at a Community Meeting	Awareness of the LCAP by the community Suggestions ranged from restoration of positions to comments regarding the GATE program to the need of more school nurses Outcome – increased Nurse positions from 2.8 FFTE to 3.8 FTE in 2015-16
April 22, 2015	Presentation of Data matrix, Survey Results and discussion of LCAP with CSEA leadership team	Awareness of the LCAP by the Classified bargaining Group Suggestions were increased staff development for CSEA bargaining members Outcome – increased staff development for CSEA bargaining members
May 26, 2015	Presentation of draft LCAP at combined Community meeting and DELAC meeting	Awareness of the LCAP by the Community Questions were asked and responded to during the meeting. Notes from the meeting are posted on the NUSD website. Outcome – increased training for Community Liaisons
May 28, 2015	Presentation of Data matrix, Survey Results and discussion of LCAP with NFT leadership team	Awareness of the LCAP by NFT No changes to the LCAP were requested

Annual Update:

The Annual Update process was conducted at the same time and in the same manner as the Plan Year (2015-16) Involvement Process. At the chart included in the Involvement Table indicated, the current Plan Year and Annual Update data matrix, budget information, and draft LCAP was presented to community groups including DELAC, PTA, school administrators, bargaining units and the Board of Trustees.

The annual survey was distributed to all families and posted on the District website for the month of March 2015.

Annual Update:

The goals and activities as identified in the 2014-15 LCAP were implemented as outlined.

The main focus of the LCAP Plan was the addition of instructional coaches; the expansion of the FTE (Full Time Equivalence) of Community Liaisons and the increase the number of sites having Community Liaisons; increase the number of sections for English Learners; lower the class size in grades 4 and 5; increase the number of interventions programs for all students.

Section 2 provides the data supporting the implementation of these goals and the cost of implementation.

Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators

Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., school site councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal: Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for school sites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the school site level. The LEA may identify which school sites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or school site.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

Schools: Identify the school sites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for school sites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the school site level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Actions/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

Scope of Service: Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the school sites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, school-wide, countywide, or charter-wide.

Pupils to be served within identified scope of service: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils re-designated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 52052.

Budgeted Expenditures: For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual school sites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual school site goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?

- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual school sites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific school sites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

				Related State and/or	Local Priorities:	
GOAL:	Effoctiv	ely implement the State Standa	rde	1 <u>X</u> 2 <u>X</u> 3 4 5	6 7 <u>X_</u> 8	
1	Ellectiv	ery implement the State Standa	rus.	COE only: 9_	_ 10	
				Local : Specify		
Identified N	Identified Need: Our students need access to rigorous curriculum and innovative instruction that is aligned to the Common Core State Standards					
Goal App	plies to:	Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All	Pupils			
		Applicable 1 apil eabgroupe.		ear 1: 2015-16		
		Williams Danast Chudant Indian au				
		Williams Report- Student lacking ow Increased student achievement on the		ook rate		
•	d Annual urable	Learning Walks/Instructional Rounds	3			
	omes:	Evidence of Math CCSS Implementa				
		CCSS aligned elementary report car CCSS Implementation for EL	u			
		Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
Instruction	al coaches	to provide imbedded professional	LEA-Wide	<u>X</u> ALL	Instructional	
developme		·			Coaches	
Purchase o	of Commor	Core State Standards materials		OR:		
				Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners	Budgeted Expenditures Instructional	
Maintain le	evel of instr	uctional leaders to guide instruction		Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient	, ,	
				Other Subgroups:(Specify)		
					φ4,039,272	
Inorogoo th	o portioino	tion level of sub-groups in specialized	Low Income	A1.	Community	
		EM, AVID, GATE, MSA, AP courses	Pupils;	ALL	Liaisons	
(No Cost)			English Learners;	OR:	\$206,740 ^{2.7}	
Community	v Liaisons		Foster Youth;	X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient		
	,		Re- designated	Other Subgroups:(Specify)		
			FEP			

LCAP Year 2: 2016-17

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Williams Report- Student lacking own copy of textbook rate

Increased student achievement on the CAASPP Learning Walks/Instructional Rounds

Evidence of Math CCSS Implementation

CCSS aligned elementary report card

CCSS Implementation for EL

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Instructional coaches to provide imbedded professional development	LEA-Wide	<u>X</u> ALL	Instructional Coaches \$569,641 1,7
Purchase of Common Core State Standards materials		OR:	CCSS materials
Maintain level of instructional leaders to guide instruction		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Inst. Leaders \$4,708,861 3,9
Middle School Career Counselors			Career Counselors \$312,828
Increase the participation level of sub-groups in specialized programs such as STEM, AVID, GATE, MSA, AP courses (No Cost) Community Liaisons	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Community Liaisons \$210,875 ^{2 · 7}

LCAP Year 3: 2017-18

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Williams Report- Student lacking own copy of textbook rate

Increased student achievement on the CAASPP

Learning Walks/Instructional Rounds Evidence of Math CCSS Implementation

CCSS aligned elementary report card

CCSS Implementation for EL

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Instructional coaches to provide imbedded professional	LEA-Wide	<u>X</u> ALL	Instructional
development			Coaches \$500,000
Purchase of Common Core State Standards materials			CCSS materials
Maintain level of instructional leaders to guide instruction		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners	(Under Development)
Middle School Career Counselors	Foster Y	Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Inst. Leaders
			\$4,779,494
			Career Counselors
			\$312,828
Increase the participation level of sub-groups in specialized	Low Income Pupils;	ALL	Community
programs such as STEM, AVID, GATE, MSA, AP courses (No Cost)	English Learners;	OR:	Liaisons \$215,093
	Foster Youth;	X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient	. ,
Community Liaisons	designated FEP	Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
	FEF		

GOAL: 2		e instruction that ensures that a ar's growth in one year's time.	ill students		Related State and/or 1X 2_ 3_ 4_ 5 COE only: 9_ Local: Specify	<u>(6X</u> 7 <u> 8X</u> _ 10 <u> </u>
Identified N	Need :		ers and suppor	ting staff. Our students need to attend scl	nool every day and be on	time.
Goal Ap	plies to:	Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All	Pupils			
			•	ear 1: 2015-16		
Meas	ed Annual surable omes:	Class Sizes Exhibit K-NFT Contract Number of combination classes Class configuration Student to device ratio Attendance Rate Chronic Absenteeism Rates Pupil Suspension/Expulsion Rates Dropout Rates Middle School Dropout Rates High School Graduation Rates SART SARB North Bay Security Group Intervention	ons			
		Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identifie	d scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Grades 4/5 Increase s (Aeries) ar (SART/SA Continued	5 will be mo tudent achi nd Monitor a RB) vendor rela	evement through increased attendance attendance/ absenteeism ationship with North Bay Security to sits, and intervention	LEA-Wide	OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearneFoster YouthRe-designated fluenOther Subgroups:(Specify)	t English proficient	Increase of 4 teachers at grade 4/5 \$300,000 ^{3, 7} Increase Attendance \$85,810 ^{4, 7} North Bay Security \$255,111 ^{4, 7}

Provide additional sections at secondary level for additional English Learner classes, additional ELD support classes at the high school and a 1.0 ELD teacher for the Hill Education Center (some services will be expanded year 2) Community Liaisons Provide Special Education services to students with Individualized Education Plans (IEP's)	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Redesignated FEP; Special	OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient	Additional Sections \$247,424 ^{3.7} Community Liaisons \$206740 ^{2.7}
Provide Special Education services to students with Individualized Education Plans (IEP's)	•		\$206740 ^{2.7} Spec. Ed Services \$10,939,926 ^{3.8}

	LCAP Year 2: 2016-17
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Class Sizes Exhibit K-NFT Contract Number of combination classes Class configuration Student to device ratio Attendance Rate Chronic Absenteeism Rates Pupil Suspension/Expulsion Rates Dropout Rates Middle School Dropout Rates High School Graduation Rates SART SARB North Bay Security Group Interventions

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Class sizes will be monitored (No Cost)	LEA-Wide	X_ALL	
Grades 4/5 will be moved to an average of 27 Increase student achievement through increased attendance (Aeries) and Monitor attendance/ absenteeism (SART/SARB) Continued vendor relationship with North Bay Security to provide data, home visits, and intervention		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Increase of 4 teachers at grade 4/5 \$304,500 3,7 Increase Attendance \$87,097 ^{4,7} North Bay Security
Provide additional sections at secondary level for additional	Low Income	ALL	\$262,764 ^{4. 7} Additional
English Learner classes, additional ELD support classes at the high school and a 1.0 ELD teacher for the Hill Education Center (some services will be expanded year 2)	Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Redesignated FEP; Special Education Students	OR:	Sections \$317,604 ^{3.7} Community
Community Liaisons Provide Special Education services to students with Individualized Education Plans (IEP's)		X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups:(Specify): Special Education	Liaisons \$210,875 ^{2.7} Spec. Ed Services \$11,104,025 ^{3.8}

		LCAP Y	ear 3: 2017-18	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Class Sizes Exhibit K-NFT Contract Number of combination classes Class configuration Student to device ratio Attendance Rate Chronic Absenteeism Rates Pupil Suspension/Expulsion Rates Dropout Rates Middle School Dropout Rates High School Graduation Rates SART SARB North Bay Security Group Intervention			
,	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Increase student achie (Aeries) and Monitor a (SART/SARB) Continued vendor relationship provide data, home vis	eved to an average of 27 evement through increased attendance ttendance/ absenteeism tionship with North Bay Security to sits, and intervention	LEA-Wide	OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Increase of 4 teachers at Grade 4/5 \$309,068 Increase Attendance \$88,404 North Bay Security \$270,647
English Learner classes the high school and a Center (some services Community Liaisons	tions at secondary level for additional es, additional ELD support classes at 1.0 ELD teacher for the Hill Education will be expanded year 2) ation services to students with on Plans (IEP's)	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP; Special Education Students	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups:(Specify): Special Education	Additional Sections \$322,68 Community Liaisons \$215,093 Spec. Ed Services \$12,231,083

GOAL:		se professional development to ement, cultural competency and			6 7 8 10
Identified I		delivery methods based in technology to Schools: All Schools		ch-based, on-going professional development. Our students nee college and careers.	d instructional
Meas	Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: Professional Development Plan Teacher Professional Development Attendance PD feedback forms Agendas from teacher collaboration time Instructional Coaches Technology Mentors				
		Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Instruction of technological Access to	nal coaches ogy in the c	educed internet service for academic	LEA-Wide	X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Prof. Devlop. \$368,055 ^{5, 9} Instructional Coaches \$561,223 ^{1, 7}
Continue t	,	essional development in GLAD, SIOP,	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Add'l Prof. Develop, \$118,345 ^{5, 9}

	LCAP Year 2: 2016-17					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Professional Development Plan Teacher Professional Development PD feedback forms Agendas from teacher collaboration Instructional Coaches Technology Mentors					
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
of technology in the classification of t	to provide staff development in the use assroom duced internet service for academic Cost)	LEA-Wide	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Prof. Devlop. \$500,000 ^{5, 9} Instructional Coaches \$569,641 ^{1, 7}		
Continue to offer profe the National Equity Pro	essional development in GLAD, SIOP, oject	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Add'l Prof. Develop, \$200,000 ^{5, 9}		

	LCAP	Year 3: 2017-
Professional Development Plan		

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Professional Development Plan

Teacher Professional Development Attendance

PD feedback forms

Agendas from teacher collaboration time

Instructional Coaches Technology Mentors

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Provide ongoing professional development	LEA-Wide	<u>X</u> ALL	Prof. Devlop.
Instructional coaches to provide staff development in the use of technology in the classroom Access to Comcast reduced internet service for academic support at home (No Cost)		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$300,000 Instructional Coaches \$500,000
Continue to offer professional development in GLAD, SIOP, the National Equity Project	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Add'l Prof. Develop, \$200,000

	s students regularly to evaluate levels of proficiency and determine ual learning needs for targeted intervention.	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3 4X 5 6 7 8 COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify
Identified Need :	Our students need grade level proficiency in core academic areas.	
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Pupils	
	LCAP Year 1: 2015-16	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Measure of Academic Progress Scores Improve student achievement on common writing and math assessments CAHSEE ELA Proficient or above CAHSEE Math Proficient or above EL Reclassification Rate CELDT Percent in Cohort Attaining English Proficient Level (AMAO 2 <5 Years CELDT Percent in Cohort Attaining English Proficient Level (AMAO 2 >=5 Years) Percent AP Exam Score of 3 or higher Increase number of students taking AP Exams STAR/CAASPP ELA STAR/CAASPP Math STAR/CAASPP Math STAR/CAASPP History/Social Studies EAP ELA College Ready Rate EAP Math College Ready Rate API Score API Growth	

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Improve pupil achievement scores on learning assessment among all subgroups including high need students groups. (No Cost/ cost included in other items) Teachers will implement the CCSS curriculum and assess student progress regularly based on CCSS and state assessments (No Cost/cost included in other items) Partnership with Bay Area Writing Project and Silicon Valley Math Initiative Continue to be updated on the State Assessment program and monitor individual student overall achievement Teacher release time for collaboration on common assessments Purchase Aeries Analytics Expanded use of MAP at elementary and middle school Provide Site Tech Mentors to assist with technology integration in the classroom Provide IT support for technology integration, data collection and analysis, and the maintenance of computer hardware and software	LEA-Wide	OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	BAWP/SVMI \$6,000 8 Student Info Monitoring \$95,011 ^{3,7} Common Assessment \$6,500 ^{5,7} Aeries Analytics \$42,000 ^{5,7} MAP \$68,000 8 Tech Mentors \$16,020 ^{3,7} IT Support \$1,373,355 ^{3,7}
Maintain early intervention practices for English Learners (No cost/cost included in other items) Improve pupil achievement scores on learning assessment among high need students groups (no cost/cost included in other items)	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	

	LCAP Year 2: 2016-17
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Measure of Academic Progress Scores Improve student achievement on common writing and math assessments CAHSEE ELA Proficient or above CAHSEE Math Proficient or above EL Reclassification Rate CELDT Percent in Cohort Attaining English Proficient Level (AMAO 2 <5 Years CELDT Percent in Cohort Attaining English Proficient Level (AMAO 2 >=5 Years) Percent AP Exam Score of 3 or higher Increase number of students taking AP Exams STAR/CAASPP ELA STAR/CAASPP Math STAR/CAASPP History/Social Studies EAP ELA College Ready Rate EAP Math College Ready Rate API Score API Growth

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Improve pupil achievement scores on learning assessment among all subgroups including high need students groups.(No Cost/ cost included in other items)	LEA-Wide	<u>X</u> ALL	BAWP/SVMI \$6,000 ⁸
Teachers will implement the CCSS curriculum and assess student progress regularly based on CCSS and state assessments (No Cost/cost included in other items)			Student Info Monitoring \$96,911,7
Partnership with Bay Area Writing Project and Silicon Valley Math Initiative			Common Assessment \$76,871 ^{5, 7}
Continue to be updated on the State Assessment program and monitor individual student overall achievement		OR:	EADMS \$43,260 ^{5, 7}
Teacher release time for collaboration on common assessments		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	MAP \$70,040 ⁸
Purchase EADMS (replaced Aeries Analytics)			Tech Mentors \$16,340 ^{3,7}
Expanded use of MAP at elementary and middle school			IT Support
Provide Site Tech Mentors to assist with technology integration in the classroom			\$1,400,822 ^{3,7}
Provide IT support for technology integration, data collection and analysis, and the maintenance of computer hardware and software			
Maintain early intervention practices for English Learners (No cost/cost included in other items)	Low Income Pupils; English	ALL OR:	-
Improve pupil achievement scores on learning assessment among high need students groups (no cost/cost included in other items)	Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	

	LCAP Year 3: 2017-18
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Measure of Academic Progress Scores Improve student achievement on common writing and math assessments CAHSEE ELA Proficient or above CAHSEE Math Proficient or above EL Reclassification Rate CELDT Percent in Cohort Attaining English Proficient Level (AMAO 2 <5 Years CELDT Percent in Cohort Attaining English Proficient Level (AMAO 2 >=5 Years) Percent AP Exam Score of 3 or higher Increase number of students taking AP Exams STAR/CAASPP ELA STAR/CAASPP Math STAR/CAASPP History/Social Studies EAP ELA College Ready Rate EAP Math College Ready Rate API Score API Growth

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Improve pupil achievement scores on learning assessment among all subgroups including high need students groups.(No Cost/ cost included in other items)	LEA-Wide	<u>X</u> ALL	BAWP/SVMI \$6,000
Teachers will implement the CCSS curriculum and assess student progress regularly based on CCSS and state assessments (No Cost/cost included in other items)			Student Info Monitoring \$98,849
Partnership with Bay Area Writing Project and Silicon Valley Math Initiative			Common Assessment \$84,942
Continue to be updated on the State Assessment program and monitor individual student overall achievement		OR:	Aeries Analytics \$44,558
Teacher release time for collaboration on common assessments		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	MAP \$72,141
Purchase Aeries Analytics			Tech Mentors \$16,667
Expanded use of MAP at elementary and middle school			IT Support
Provide Site Tech Mentors to assist with technology integration in the classroom			1,428,839
Provide IT support for technology integration, data collection and analysis, and the maintenance of computer hardware and software			
Maintain early intervention practices for English Learners (No cost/cost included in other items)	Low Income Pupils; English	ALL OR:	_
Improve pupil achievement scores on learning assessment among high need students groups (no cost/cost included in other items)	Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	

GOAL: 5	_	, attract, retain, and build the ca provide an innovative educatior	-	ce for all students.	Related State and 1X 2_ 3_ 4_ COE only: ocal : Specify	5 6 7 8 9 10
Identified I	Need :	Our students need great teachers, lead	ers, and suppor	rting staff.		
Goal Ap	plies to:	Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All	Pupils			
			LCAP Y	ear 1: 2015-16		
Meas	Competitive Salaries and benefits: District and regional comparative districts Expected Annual Measurable Teacher turnover rate Teachers with BCLAD (Bilingual) Teachers with administrative credentials					
		Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified s	scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Monitoring Continue t and region	Report (M	salaries and benefits to comparative in order to maintain a competitive	LEA-Wide	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent E Other Subgroups:(Specify)	English proficient	Cred. Monitor \$80,368 ^{3,7} Salary/Benefit \$61,661,047 ^{5,9}
at hiring fa	airs at strate s)	bilingual employees through attendance egic location (no cost/ cost included in all Spanish classes for employees	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English Control Subgroups:(Specify)		Conv. Spanish \$4,000 ⁸

LCAP Year 2: 2016-17

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Competitive Salaries and benefits: District and regional comparative districts

Teacher Mis-assignment Rate

Teacher turnover rate

Teachers with BCLAD (Bilingual)

Teachers with administrative credentials

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Review quarterly and continue monitor the Credential Monitoring Report (MCOE) Continue to compare salaries and benefits to comparative and regional districts in order to maintain a competitive salary and benefit schedule	LEA-Wide	X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Cred. Monitor \$81,975 ^{3, 7} Salary/Benefit \$62,190,113 ^{5,9}
Seek and hire more Bilingual employees through attendance at hiring fairs at strategic location (no cost/ cost included in other items) Provide conversational Spanish classes for employees	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Conv. Spanish \$4,000 ⁸

LCAP Year 3: 2017-18					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Competitive Salaries and benefit Teacher Mis-assignment Rate Teacher turnover rate Teachers with BCLAD (Bilingual) Teachers with administrative creations)	regional comparative districts		
1	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
Monitoring Report (MC Continue to compare s	alaries and benefits to comparative order to maintain a competitive	LEA-Wide	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Cred. Monitor \$83,615 Salary/Benefit \$62,859,064	
Seek and hire more Bilingual employees through attendance at hiring fairs at strategic location (no cost/ cost included in other items) Provide conversational Spanish classes for employees		Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Conv. Spanish \$4,000	

GOAL: 6		e the frequency of maintenand arning environment.	ce and update	e facilities to provide a clean,	Related State and/or L 1X 2 3 4 5 COE only: 9 Local : Specify	_ 6 7 8 _ 10
Identified Need : Our students need clean, well-maintained facilities.						
Goal App	lies to:	Schools: All Schools				
Обаг Арр	iics to.	Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	II Pupils			
			LCAP Y	ear 1: 2015-16		
Measu	Expected Annual Parent Survey Measurable Student Survey Outcomes: Overall Facility Rating					
Actions/Services		Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service		Budgeted Expenditures	
Increase the maintenance of bathrooms			<u>X</u> ALL		Bathroom	
Maintain clean and safe facilities			OR:		Maint. \$5,000 ⁷	
Analyze data to determine training and staffing needs (no cost)			Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnFoster YouthRe-designated fluerOther Subgroups:(Specify)	nt English proficient	Clean/Safe Facilities \$7,239,101 ^{3,7}	
Open facilities to families who need additional instruction or		Low Income Pupils;	ALL			
the use of to	ecnnology	(computers, etc) (No cost)	English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learne X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluen Other Subgroups:(Specify)	ers t English proficient	

		LCAP Y	ear 2: 2016-17		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Parent Survey Student Survey Overall Facility Rating				
Ad	ctions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
Increase the maintenan	ce of bathrooms	LEA-Wide	<u>X</u> ALL	Bathroom Maint.	
Maintain clean and safe	facilities		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners	No cost	
Analyze data to determine training and staffing needs (no cost)			Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Clean/Safe Facilities \$7,383,883 ^{3,7}	
Open facilities to familie	es who need additional instruction or	Low Income Pupils;	ALL		
the use of technology (computers, etc) (No cost)		English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		
		LCAP Y	ear 3: 2017-18		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Parent Survey Student Survey Overall Facility Rating				
A	ctions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
Increase the maintenance of bathrooms		LEA Wide	<u>X</u> ALL	Bathroom Maint.	
Maintain clean and safe facilities Analyze data to determine training and staffing needs (no cost)			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	No Cost Clean/Safe Facilities \$7,531,562	
	es who need additional instruction or	Low Income Pupils;	ALL		
the use of technology (computers, etc) (No cost)		English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)		

GOAL:		e access to a broad course of study and strengthen the college and readiness program for all students.	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3 4X_5_ 6 7X_ 8 COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify		
Identified I	Need :	Our students need access to the right courses.			
Goal Applies to: Schools: All Schools					
	phot to:	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Pupils			
		LCAP Year 1: 2015-16			
		Increase offerings of CTE Courses			
	Percent completing a CTE course sequence				
Expected Annual Measurable		College and Career participation			
		Total course offerings			
		AP Enrollment			
		AVID Enrollment			
Outcomes:	Enrollment in A-G courses				
	Algebra Academy (Summer Program)				
	Newcomer Academy (Summer Program)				
	Camp University (Summer Program)				
		Concurrent Enrollment (COM, SRJC)			

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Analyze data to determine if current level of service is adequate to meet the needs of all students (Guidance Counseling) Provide outreach and training parents regarding the use of Naviance to monitor and support student post-secondary plans AVID Program at secondary level Early Intervention Program	LEA-Wide	OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Guid. Coun. \$1,035,738 ^{3,8} Naviance \$26,300 ^{6,7} AVID \$276,482 ⁸ Early Interv. \$731,384 ^{3,8}
Community Liaisons Implement Camp University, EL Newcomer Academy, and Algebra Academy	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Community Liaisons \$206,740 ^{2,7} Summer Programs \$82,115 8

LCAP Year 2: 2016-17					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Increase offerings of CTE Courses Percent completing a CTE course so College and Career participation Total course offerings AP Enrollment AVID Enrollment Enrollment in A-G courses Algebra Academy (Summer Program Newcomer Academy (Summer Program Camp University (Summer Program Concurrent Enrollment (COM, SRJC)	n) ıram))			
Į.	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
adequate to meet the n Counseling) Provide outreach and to Naviance to monitor and plans AVID Program at second Early Intervention Program	•	LEA-Wide	OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Guid. Coun. \$1,051,274 ^{3,8} Naviance \$26,300 ^{6,7} AVID \$280,629 ⁸ Early Interv. \$741,355 ^{3,8}	
	ersity, EL Newcomer Academy, and grams will be expanded)	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Community Liaisons \$210,875 ^{2, 7} Summer Programs \$90,000 ⁸	

LCAP Year 3: 2017-18					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Increase offerings of CTE Courses Percent completing a CTE course some college and Career participation Total course offerings AP Enrollment AVID Enrollment Enrollment in A-G courses Algebra Academy (Summer Program Newcomer Academy (Summer Program Camp University (Summer Program Concurrent Enrollment (COM, SRJC)	m) gram))			
A	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
adequate to meet the n Counseling) Provide outreach and tr Naviance to monitor an plans AVID Program at secon Early Intervention Program	•	LEA-Wide	OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Guid. Coun. \$1,067,043 Naviance \$26,300 AVID \$284,839 Early Interv. \$753,490	
	ersity, EL Newcomer Academy, and grams will be expanded)	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Community Liaisons \$215,093 Summer Programs \$90,000	

					
GOAL:		e parent participation by engagi viding parent education opport		heir child's learning activities 1_ 2_ 3 <u>X</u> 4 pport student learning. COE o	and/or Local Priorities: 5 6 7 8 nly: 9 10
Identified N	Need :	Our students need their families to feel	welcomed and	able to support their educational success.	
Goal App	plies to:	Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All	Pupils		
			LCAP Y	ear 1: 2015-16	
Meas	ed Annual surable omes:	Parent Survey School Site Survey California Healthy Kids Survey (CHI Promotion of Parental Participation Community Forums	(S)		
		Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Identify pre (no cost)	eferred met	hods of communication for all families	LEA-Wide	<u>X</u> ALL	Communication \$112,567 ^{3,7}
Conduct parent surveys regarding communication, pupil engagement and safety (Communication)			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficien	Naviance \$26,300 ^{6, 7}	
		training parents regarding the use of and support student post-secondary		Other Subgroups:(Specify)	_
Community Liaisons		Low Income Pupils; English	ALL	Community Liaisons	
			Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	

LCAP Year 2: 2016-17						
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Parent Survey School Site Survey California Healthy Kids Survey (CHI Promotion of Parental Participation Community Forums	⟨S)				
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
(no cost) Conduct parent survey engagement and safety Provide outreach and t	ods of communication for all families s regarding communication, pupil y (Communication) raining parents regarding the use of nd support student post-secondary	LEA-Wide	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Communication \$114,818 ^{3,7} Naviance \$26,300 ^{6,7}		
Community Liaisons Bilingual Tutors/Title I	Tutoring	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Community Liaisons \$210,875 ^{2,7} Title I Tutoring \$110,000 ⁸		

	LCAP Year 3: 2017-18					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Parent Survey School Site Survey California Healthy Kids Survey (CHM Promotion of Parental Participation Community Forums	(S)				
A	ctions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
(no cost) Conduct parent surveys engagement and safety Provide outreach and tra	regarding communication, pupil (Communication) aining parents regarding the use of d support student post-secondary	LEA-Wide	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Communication \$117,115 Naviance \$26,300		
Community Liaisons Bilingual Tutors		Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Community Liaisons \$215,093 Bilingual Tutors \$110,000		

				Related State and/o	r Local Drioritics:
GOAL:	_	hen community partnerships to	provide add		
9	and fam	nilies.		COE only: 9	10
				Local : Specify	
Identified	Need :	Our students need adequate housing, f	ood and social-		
Goal An	oplies to:	Schools: All Schools			
Oddi Ap	prico to.	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All	Pupils		
			LCAP Y	ear 1: 2015-16	
		Number of families participating in fo	ood banks		
		Number of students participating in		ices	
		Support for Special Education stude	ents and families	3	
		Healthy Novato			
Expecte	ed Annual	Novato Blue Ribbon Coalition for Yo	outh (NBRCY)		
	surable	Restorative Justice			
Outo	comes:	Marin Community Foundation			
		Marin Promise Partnership			
		Parent Institute for Quality Education	n (PIQE)		
		Boys and Girls Club			
		Novato Youth Center			
		Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Continue a	and expand	partnerships with community agencies	LEA Wide	<u>X</u> ALL	Social
		amilies are connected to safety net			Emotional
services (I	No Cost/ co	sts included in other items)			Counseling
				OR:	\$245,850 ⁸
Social-Em	notional Cou	inseling Services		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners	
Dortnorohi	ing with VM	CA and Payo and Cirla Club of San		Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient	
Francisco		CA and Boys and Girls Club of San		Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
Transisco	(140 0001)				
Increase s	services to s	students in need to provide essentials	Low Income Pupils;	ALL	Food Services
such as food, after school opportunities and other			English		\$178,964 ⁸
meaningfu	ul family ser	vices	Learners;	OR:	
			Foster Youth;	X Low Income pupils X English Learners	Community
Communit	ty Liaisons		Re- designated	X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient	Liaisons \$206,740 ^{2,7}
Continue	San Francis	co and Marin Food Bank programs (No	FEP	Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$200,740
cost)	Jan i Ianos	oco and Marin i ood Barik programs (NO			
5551)			1	<u>I</u>	1

LCAP Year 2: 2016-17					
Number of families participating in food banks Number of students participating in counseling services Support for Special Education students and families Healthy Novato Novato Blue Ribbon Coalition for Youth (NBRCY) Restorative Justice Marin Community Foundation Marin Promise Partnership Parent Institute for Quality Education (PIQE) Boys and Girls Club Novato Youth Center					
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
to ensure youth and far services (No Cost/ cost/ Social-Emotional Coun	partnerships with community agencies milies are connected to safety net its included in other items) aseling Services A and Boys and Girls Club of San	LEA Wide	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Social Emotional Counseling \$250,000 ⁸	
such as food, after sch meaningful family servi Community Liaisons	udents in need to provide essentials ool opportunities and other ices	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Food Services \$178,964 ⁸ Community Liaisons \$210,875 ^{2,7}	

		I CAP Y	ear 3: 2017-18	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: Marin Community Foundation Marin Promise Partnership Parent Institute for Quality Education (PIQE) Boys and Girls Club Novato Youth Center				
Actions/Services		Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
to ensure youth and fa services (No Cost/ cos Social-Emotional Coun	partnerships with community agencies milies are connected to safety net ts included in other items) aseling Services CA and Boys and Girls Club of San	LEA Wide	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Social Emotional Counseling \$250,000
such as food, after sch meaningful family serv Community Liaisons	udents in need to provide essentials ool opportunities and other ices	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Food Services \$178,000 Community Liaisons \$215,093

GOAL: 10		the needs of our underserved p ngagement.	opulation w	Related State and/o ith additional staff, resources 1_ 2_ 3X_ 4_ 5 COE only: 9 Local : Specify	6 6 7 8 9 10
Identified No	eed:	Our underserved students have addition	nal needs that o	can be met with additional staff, support and services.	
Goal Appl	ies to:	Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Ta	rgeted Students	S	
			LCAP Y	ear 1: 2015-16	
Expected Measu Outco	rable	Homeless Student Support Foster Student Support Number of families being served by community liaison			
		Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
	icrease st	ement through parent outreach and udent learning (No cost/cost included	LEA-Wide	ALL	Community Liaisons \$206,740 ²
	umber of	sections at secondary level to provide		OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners	Increased Sections \$247,424 ³
Specialized instruction Consultants (Kevin Clark) to inform EL program National Equity Project			X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Kevin Clark Consulting \$65,500	
EL Coordina	, ,	il			National Equity Project \$88,000 ⁵

Bilingual Tutors \$110,000⁸

		LCAP Y	ear 2: 2016-17	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Homeless Student Support Foster Student Support Number of families being served by	community liais	son	
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
	ment through parent outreach and	Low Income Pupils;	ALL	Community
in other items)	dent learning (No cost/cost included	English Learners;		Liaisons \$210,875 ²
Community Liaisons	Foster Vouth			Increased Sections
Increased number of se specialized instruction	ections at secondary level to provide	designated FEP		\$317,604 ³
	ork) to inform EL program (services ars 2 and 3)		OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient	Kevin Clark Consulting \$100,000
National Equity Project and 3)	(services will be expanded in years 2		Other Subgroups:(Specify)	National Equity Project \$100,000 ⁵
EL Coordinator				φ100,000
Bilingual Tutors				EL Coordinator \$116,041 ^{3,8}

	LCAP Year 3: 2017-18					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Homeless Student Support Foster Student Support Number of families being served by	community liais	son			
А	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
support to increase studin other items) Community Liaisons Increased number of se specialized instruction Consultants (Kevin Clarwill be expanded in year	ment through parent outreach and dent learning (No cost/cost included ections at secondary level to provide rk) to inform EL program (services ars 2 and 3) (services will be expanded in years 2	Low Income Pupils; English Learners; Foster Youth; Re- designated FEP	OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Community Liaisons \$215,093 Increased Sections \$322,368 Kevin Clark Consulting \$100,000 National Equity Project \$100,000 EL Coordinator \$117,782		

¹ Instructional Coaches: listed in goals 1, 3, 5

² Community Liaisons: listed in goals 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10

³ The following costs are also listed under the total salaries and benefits listed under Goal 5: Instructional leaders (Goal 1), Instructional Coaches (Goals 1,3,5), Community Liaisons (Goals 1,2,5,7,8,9,10), additional teachers to reduce class size (Goal 2), additional staffing for ELD (Goal 2), Special Education staffing (Goal 2), student information maintenance (Goal 4), Tech Mentor Stipends (Goal 4), IT support (Goal 4, monitoring of credentials (Goal 5, maintenance/operations salary (Goal 6), guidance counselor (Goal 7), Early Intervention Salary/Benefits (Goal 7), communication salary/benefits (goal 8), Increased sections for secondary specialized instruction (Goal 10)

⁴ Costs included include \$10,000 of cost already included in Instructional Leadership and Overall Salary costs; \$75,000 of North Bay Security Group Contract included (also listed in full cost/different action item for Goal 2)

⁵ Includes Professional Development related to Common Core Implementation (Goal 1), Professional Development (Goal 3), Release time (Goal 4), Aeries implementation (Goal 4), Diversity (Goal 10)

⁶ Cost of Naviance listed in Goals 7 and 8

⁷ Unrestricted Funding Sources

⁸ Restricted Funding Sources

⁹ Unrestricted and Restricted Funding Sources

Annual Update

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific school sites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

GOAL from prior	GOAL 1: Identify, attract, retain, and build the capacity of teachers, leaders and classified staff providing innovative instruction			Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1X 2X 3_ 4_ 5_ 6_ 7_ 8_ COE only: 9_ 10_ Local : Specify
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	All Students will be exposed to teachers that are innovative, culturally competent and deliver instruction that is aligned with Common Core State Standards Metric: Competitive Salaries and benefits: District and regional comparative districts William Act Learning Walks Training Sign-ins	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Competitive Salaries and benefits: District and regional comparative districts Teacher Mis- assignment Rate Learning Walks Teacher Professional Development Attendance	Based on the Comparable District Study conducted in 2013-14, NUSD's salaries are now at or above the median of our comparable districts. NUSD was able to offer salary increases equal to 6% since 2011, even during the recession 0.00% Data collection method for learning walks under development As of May 1, 2015, 339 teachers have attended a total of 982 different professional development events during the current school year based on Applications to Attend (414 teachers in the District)
			Equity Rubric	Under development/ metric under review

	LCAP Ye	<mark>ar: 2014-15</mark>			
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services			
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures		
Review quarterly and continue monitor the Credential Monitoring Report (MCOE)	Credentialed personnel	Review quarterly and omega Monitoring Report (MC	continue monitor the Credential COE)	\$78,600	
Continue to compare salaries and benefits to comparative and regional districts	Classified personnel Administration \$55 million	Continue to compare s and regional districts	\$57,293,628		
Scope of service:		Scope of service:			
<u>X</u> ALL		X_ALL			
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	-		English Learners -designated fluent English proficient Specify)		
Hire more Bilingual employees		HR staff attended biling	ngual recruitment fair	\$1000	
Provide all personnel with additional training and credentialing to work with EL, LI and Foster Youth		Provide teaching staff SIOP and GLAD	with professional development in	\$50,455	
Scope of service:		Scope of service:			
ALL		ALL			
OR:Low Income pupils _X_English Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther		Foster YouthRe-	_X_English Learners -designated fluent English proficient Specify)		
Subgroups:(Specify) What changes in actions, services.					

Move to new goal #5 to reflect alignment with District Strategic Plan. Also, reword goal as a result of Needs Assessment. New goal #5: Identify, attract, retain, and build the capacity of teachers, leaders and classified staff to provide an innovative educational experience for all students.

GOAL	OAL 2: crease cultural competency for staff Schools: All Schools			Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1X 2X 3_ 4_ 5_ 6_ 7_ 8_ COE only: 9_ 10_ Local : Specify
Goal Applies t	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Students			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	All Students will be exposed to teachers that are innovative, culturally competent and deliver instruction that is aligned with Common Core State Standards Metric: Competitive Salaries and benefits: District and regional comparative districts Williams Act Learning Walks Training Sign-ins Equity Rubric	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Competitive Salaries and benefits: District and regional comparative districts Teacher Mis- assignment Rate Learning Walks Teacher Professional Development Attendance Equity Rubric	Based on the Comparable District Study conducted in 2013-14, NUSD's salaries are now at or above the median of our comparable districts. NUSD was able to offer salary increases equal to 6% since 2011, even during the recession 0.00% Data collection method for learning walks under development As of May 1, 2015, 339 teachers have attended a total of 982 different professional development events during the current school year based on Applications to Attend (414 teachers in the District Under development/ metric under review

	LCAP Ye	<mark>ar: 2014-15</mark>		
Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services	
	Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
Provide staff trainings in cultural competency		Certificated staff attended National Equity Project District contracted with National Equity Project to provide districtwide training, planning for broader implementation plan of continued work with National Equity Project for pre-K through 12 th grade		\$21,600 \$12,000
Scope of service:		Scope of service:		
<u>X</u> ALL	together to set forth X_ALL			
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
Provide staff development in cultural competency				
Scope of service:		Scope of service:		
ALL		ALL		
OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		X Foster Youth X	ils _X_English Learners _Re-designated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
i ano expendimes will be made as a l		•	o a new goal #3: Increase professional	•

realignment with District Strategic Plan and Needs Assessment.

result of reviewing past progress

and/or changes to goals?

elevate student achievement and engagement, cultural competency and proficiency. Result of

Original	riginal GOAL 3:				Related State and/or Local Priorities:		
GOAL from	Maintain class size and configuration t		1 <u>X</u> 2 <u>X</u> 3 4 5_				
prior year LCAP:	_		-		COE only: 9	10	
	. Schools: All Schools				Local : Specify		
Goal Applies	5 to:	II Students					
Expected Annual Measurable	Maintain average class size at 22 for TK-3 grades and class size average of 27 for 4/5 grades Metric: Class sizes		Actual Annual Measurable	Class Sizes	K-3 average class size (ACS)= 20.84; Grades 4-5 ACS= 26.45; Grades 6-8 ACS= 24.05; Grades 9-12 ACS = 22.56 (Does not include NOVA, Nexus or MOHS)		
Outcomes:			Outcomes:	Exhibit K-NFT Contract	During the current school year there are 12 Exhibit K teachers. (6 Elem., 1 Middle School, 5 High School)		
		LCAP Yea	ar: 2014-15				
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Ac	al Actions/Services		
		Budgeted Expenditures				Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
Class sizes wil Grades 4/5 wil	l be monitored be moved to an average of 27	Increase of 5 teachers at Grade 4/5	Grades 4/5 averaged at 26.45 students An additional 4 FTE teachers were hired			\$260,000	
		\$325,000					
Scope of servi	ce:		Scope of service:				
<u>X</u> ALL			_X_ALL				
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)				

Provide additional sections at secondary for EL students		Provide additional sections at secondary for EL students \$100,000	Total number of sections added at Secondary 11 sections added		\$183,290	
Scope of service:ALL				Scope of service:ALL		
OR: _Low Income pupils X_Eng _Foster YouthRe-desi _Other Subgroups:(Speci	ignated fluent Engli			Foster YouthR	s <u>X</u> English Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? Goal has been combined with goals #8 and #11 into a new goal #2. Provide instruction that all students have the opportunity to make one year's growth in one year's time. Result of real District Strategic Plan and Needs Assessment.						

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	GOA Effec	AL 4: ctively Implement the Common Core State Standards	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1X 2X 3_ 4_ 5_ 6_ 7X 8_ COE only: 9_ 10_ Local : Specify
Goal Applie	es to:	Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Students	

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Students will have access to the current instruction strategies and CCSS aligned materials to become college and career ready Students will have access to the current adopted materials Metric: • Williams Report • Increased number of students completing A-G requirements • Increased student achievement on the Measure of Academic Progress • Increased number of students taking AP courses and AP exams • Increase in students demonstrating readiness in ELA/Math on the Early Assessment Program (CSU/UC) • Evidence of pilots for mathematics	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Williams Report- Student lacking own copy of textbook rate Increased number of students completing A-G requirements Increased student achievement on the Measure of Academic Progress Increased number of students taking AP courses and AP exams Increase in the students demonstrating readiness in ELA/Math on the Early Assessment Program (CSU/UC) Evidence of pilots for mathematics	In 2013-14 49.8% of high school graduates met A-G requirements. Data for 2014-15 not yet available. Baseline data for Winter 2015 shows the following percentages of students at or above grade level: 3rd Grade Math 52.1%/Lang 61.8% 4th Grade Math 57.3%/Lang 65.3% 6th Grade Math 56.2%/Lang 65.3% 7th Grade Math 59.3%/Lang 69.0% 8th Grade Math 59.3%/Lang 69.0% 8th Grade Math 63.7%/Lang 68.3% In 2014 653 different students were enrolled in AP classes, with a total AP course enrollment of 1160. 979 AP Exams were taken (84.40%) Irregularity in data did not allow for this data point to be collected in 2014. 2013 Early Assessment of Readiness for College English showed 32% of the 555 students tested were Ready for College, and an additional 14% were Ready for College-Conditional and 2013 Early Assessment of Readiness for College math showed 12% of the 227 students tested were Ready for College, and an additional 48% were Ready for College-Conditional Implementation of College Preparatory Math (CPM) grades 6-12, elementary pilot (spring 2015), and teacher trainings by Eileen Smith, Cynthia Klinkman, and Catherine Nam (Instructional Coaches)
---	--	---	--	---

	LCAP Ye	<mark>ar: 2014-15</mark>		
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services		
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
Instructional coaches to provide imbedded professional development	8 instructional coaches	Instructional coached hired – 6.6 FTE	\$593,571	
Purchase of Common Core State Standards materials	\$520,000	Common Core Instructional materials were purchased	\$396,834	
Taronado di Common Coro Stato Standardo matemate	Common Core State Standards materials			
	\$250,000			
Scope of service:		Scope of service:		
<u>X</u> ALL		<u>X</u> ALL		
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
Increase the participation level of sub-groups in specialized programs such as STEM, AVID, GATE, MSA, AP courses	Community Liaisons \$250,215	Community Liaisons FTE increased from 2.2 FTE in 2013-14 to 4.6 FTE in 2014-15. Total estimated cost for Community for 2014-15.	\$214,542	
	Purchase of	Naviance Software was purchased and trainings with staff.	\$31, 180.82	
	Naviance Software Program - training of families	Data for participation is being gathered in the 2014-15 year		
	\$25,000			
Scope of service:		Scope of service:		
ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		

Goal was moved to new goal #1, no change in wording, to reflect alignment with District Strategic Plan.

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	GOAL 5: Increase the frequency of maintenance and update faction learning environment	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1X 2_ 3_ 4_ 5_ 6_ 7_ 8_ COE only: 9_ 10_ Local : Specify		
Goal Applie	s to: Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Students			
Students will experience clean and safe learning environments Metric: Student Survey Williams Act Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Student Survey	Students were provided with the opportunity to complete a survey in the Spring of 2015 on a range of topics, including providing feedback regarding facilities. 503 students participated in the survey. Complete survey results may be found at: http://www.nusd.org/departments/business services/lcap local control and accountability plan /2015 lcap survey results/	
		outoomes.	Overall Facility Rating	Overall rating of 1 on the State of California Facility Inspection Tool/School Facility Conditions Evaluation. The tool is used to determine if a school facility is in good repair. A rating of 1 indicates that facilities are in good repair

	LCAP Ye	<mark>ar: 2014-15</mark>		
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services		
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
Increase the maintenance of bathrooms and develop a long-term plan to update facilities	Analyze data to determine training and staffing needs \$6,672,233 Analyze data to determine training and staffing needs \$100		\$500 \$7,012,741	
Scope of service: X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify) Open facilities to families who need additional instruction or the use of technology (computers, etc.)	Analyze data to determine training and staffing needs Extended hours at libraries	Scope of service: ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) School sites provide intervention strategies that include before and after school access to individualized instruction Three school sites created community learning centers within their campus to provide increased learning time for at risk students needing additional instruction. Six school sites have extended hours at their media center	Cost varies from school site and is part of the school site budget.	
Scope of service: ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		Scope of service: ALL OR:X Low Income pupilsX English LearnersX Foster YouthX Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		

Goal moved to new goal #6 to reflect alignment between LCAP and District Strategic Plan, wording updated to reflect all state standards.

GOAL From prior	GOAL Provide access to a broad course of study and strengthen the career readiness program for all students, including the special needs population					or Local Priorities: 5 6 7 <u>X</u> 8 9 10
Goal Applies	Goal Applies to: Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Students					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Students will have access to the current in strategies and CCSS aligned materials to and career ready Metric: Increase offerings of CTE Courses		Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Increase offering of CTE Courses	In 2014-15 28 CTE offered (628 stude compared to 27 cc 2013-14 (558 stud	ents enrolled) as ourses offered in
		LCAP Yea	ar: 2014-15			
	Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services			
		Budgeted Expenditures				Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
l and the grant of		Increase hours of guidance counselors	Guidance counseling met student ratios as defined by bargaining agreement			
Purchase software		\$1,421,211 Purchase of software \$20,000	Awareness to parents for the use of Naviance Software through Community Liaisons and other staff Perkins CTE plan has been updated		No specific cost	

Scope of service: X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	-	Scope of service: _X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
Implement Camp University summer program Continue Newcomer summer program Implement Camp University summer program	Implement Camp University summer program \$22,000 Continue Newcomer Summer Program \$6,000	Camp University was implemented in the Summer of 2014 with district contribution of \$ 35,695 Newcomer Program was provided to 18 students in Summer of 2014 \$4348	\$35,695 \$4,348
Scope of service: ALL OR:Low Income pupils X English LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	-	Scope of service: ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X English LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	

Goal has been reworded as a result of Needs Assessment, and moved to goal #7 to align with District Strategic Plan. New goal #7: Provide access to a broad course of study and strengthen the college and career readiness program for all students

	GOAL 7:			Related State and/or Local Priorities:	
	Increase professional development to elevate student achievement & engagement, cultural competency and proficiency 1_2_3_4X_5_6_7_ COE only: 9_ 10_				
LCAP:				Local : Specify	
Goal Applies	to: Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Students				
	Students will be exposed to researched based teaching strategies Metric: Professional Development Plan PD feedback forms		Professional Development Plan	The Curriculum and Instruction Department maintains a calendar of professional development activities sponsored by the district, as well as those staff attend (through the collection of Application to Attend forms)	
Expected	 Teacher Professional Development Attendance Evidence of PBL, GLAD and SIOP strategies within the classroom through learning walks Agendas from teacher collaboration time 	Actual	PD feedback form	Feedback is collected for professional development hosted by the district. Feedback is then used to inform future professional development events	
Annual Measurable Outcomes:		Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Teacher Professional Development Attendance	As of May 1, 2015, 339 teachers have attended a total of 982 different professional development events during the current school year based on Applications to Attend (414 teachers in the District)	
			Evidence of PBL, GLAD and SIOP	Rubric and learning walk schedule in development to assess level of implementation	
			Agendas from teacher collaboration time	Site Department and Grade Level teams meet regularly and work with site administration to create agendas to guide work during common planning time	

	LCAP Ye	ar: 2014-15		
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services		
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
Provide ongoing professional development	Ongoing professional Development in PBL, CCSS	Ongoing professional development was provided to certificated staff in PBL,CCSS, Math and ELA	\$677,612	
	Math and ELA	Instruction coaches provided training and support to certificated employees	\$593,571	
	Release time for teachers to collaborate and plan	School calendars provide release time for collaboration and planning		
	Coaching training			
	\$1,013,476			
Scope of service:		Scope of service:		
XALL		<u>X</u> ALL		
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	-	OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
Continue to offer professional development to increase instructional strategies for all learners	Ongoing professional development in GLAD and SIOP	Additional professional training in GLAD and SIOP Instructional coaches provide additional support and training	\$50,455 \$593,571	
	\$30,000	to teaching staff		
	Coaching support through the use of 8 instructional coaches			
	Coaching support through the use of 8 instructional	to teaching staff		

Scope of			Scope of		
service:			service:		
ALL			ALL		
OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: X Low Income pup X Foster Youth X Other Subgroups:			
and expenditures result of reviewi	actions, services, will be made as a ng past progress ges to goals?	Goal #7 has development This was a re			

GOAL	nhance the use of technology to support classroom te	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3 4 X 5 6 7 8 X COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify		
Goal Applies t	Students will be college and career ready Instructional coaches providing teachers with professional		Increase graduati	on The NUSD High School Graduation Rate for 2013 is 92.5% (more current data not available)
	Metric: Increase graduation rates College and CTE tracking		College and CTE tracking	College data is tracked through participation in the National Clearing House. CTE data is tracked through Carl Perkins federal reporting
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 Increase the percentage of students who receive "Ready" on the CSU/EAP conditional status Attendance rates Student to device ratio Learning walks 	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Increase the percentage of students who recommend in the CSU/EAP conditional status. Attendance Rates	Readiness for College English showed 32% of the 555 students tested were Ready for College, and an additional 14% were Ready for College-Conditional and 2013 Early Assessment of Readiness for College math showed 12% of the 227 students tested were Ready for College, and an additional 48% were Ready for College-Conditional
			Student to Device Ratio	
			Learning Walks	Data collection method for learning walks under development

LCAP Year: 2014-15				
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services		
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
Instructional coaches to provide staff development in the use of technology in the classroom	8 Instructional coaches \$520,000	Instructional coaches provided staff development in the use of technology in the classroom	\$593,571	
Scope of service:		Scope of service:		
<u>X</u> ALL		<u>X</u> ALL		
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		
Access to Comcast reduced internet service for academic support at home		24.9% of estimated eligible households requested applications.		
Extended hours at Media Center		 17.48% of estimated eligible households activated services 131 households 6 school sites have extended hours at their media center 		
Scope of service:		Scope of service:		
ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		ALL OR: _X Low Income pupils _X English Learners _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		
result of reviewing past progress developmen	t to elevate studer	rith goals #2 and #7, to now be new goal #3: Increase profest achievement and engagement, cultural competency and Assessment and alignment to District Strategic Plan.		

Onimin al O	OAL 0:		:	Related State and/or Local Priorities:			
	1 2 2 4 V 5 6 7 0						
GOAL As	ssess students regularly to evaluate levels of proficie	1 <u> 2 3 4 </u>					
from prior le	arning needs for targeted intervention	COE only: 9 10					
year LCAP:				Local : Specify			
LCAP.							
Goal Applies t	Schools: All Schools						
	Applicable Pupil Subgroups. All Students		1				
	All students will increase achievement scores on learning assessments		Measure of Academic Progres	Baseline data for Winter 2015 shows the following percentages of			
	45565511161115		Scores	students at or above grade level:			
	Metric:		000.00	3 rd Grade Math 52.1%/Lang 61.8%			
	Measure of Academic Progress scores			4 th Grade Math 61.4%/Lang 68.2%			
	EL Reclassification			5 th Grade Math 57.3%/Lang 65.3%			
	Improve student achievement on common writing and			6 th Grade Math 56.2%/Lang 65.3%			
	math assessments			7 th Grade Math 59.3%/Lang 69.0% 8 th Grade Math 63.7%/Lang 68.3%			
	Disaggregated focus student data from state standardized		EL Reclassification	ŭ			
	tests (CELDT and others) CCSS aligned elementary report card		Rate	11.2%			
	Coss aligned elementary report card		Improve student	Common writing secondary writing			
			achievement on	assessment began in 2014 (grade			
			common writing and math	8) and will expand to grades 8, 9 and 10 in 2015. MARS tasks for			
xpected		Actual	assessments	placement began in 2014.			
Annual		Annual		Comparative data will be available			
Measurable		Measurable		in the future			
Outcomes:		Outcomes:	CAHSEE ELA	NUSD students had a 88% pass			
			Proficient or abov	e rate on the ELA portion of the CAHSEE in 2014 (State average			
				was 83%)			
			CAHSEE Math	NUSD students had a 90% pass			
			Proficient or abov	e rate on the math portion of the			
				CAHSEE in 2014 (State average			
				was 85%)			
			CELDT Percent in				
			Cohort Attaining English Proficient	years cohort) attaining the English Proficient Level on the CELDT was			
			Level (AMAO 2 <				
			Years)	22.8%, target was met			
			CCSS aligned	CCSS aligned elementary report			
			elementary report				
			card	2015			

LCAP Year: 2014-15					
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services			
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures		
Improve pupil achievement scores on learning assessment among all subgroups including high need students groups. Teachers will implement the CCSS curriculum and assess student progress regularly based on CCSS and state assessments Continue to be updated on the State Assessment program and monitor individual student overall achievement	Instructional coaches to provide professional development \$520,000 Partnership with Bay Area Writing	Instructional coaches provided additional resources in the classroom so that small learning groups could be possible Students were assessed utilizing the Bay Area Writing Project and Silicon Valley Math Assessment tools. Teachers were paid hourly to review and calibrate results	\$593,571 \$7,204 (writing assessment) \$5,475; Math MARS assessment \$1,729)		
	Project & Silicon Valley Math Initiative \$10,000 Teacher release time for collaboration focused data analysis and planning \$5,000	Teacher release time is part of Professional Learning Communities (PLC) and attended during early release days.	No additional cost		
Scope of service: X ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)		Scope of service: _X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			

Maintain early interve	ntion practices for Englis	sh Learners	Purchase Aeries		purchased and installed. Training of	\$8,000
Improve pupil achieve among high need stu	ement scores on learning dents groups	g assessment	Analytics \$8,000	teachers is on-going and the training is done primarily by tech mentors MAP was expanded to Middle School. Total cost of MAP is		
	losely the CELDT, State o ensure all students are		Expand the implementation of MAP at elementary and middle schools	estimated at \$66,751		
			Develop more common assessments for grade level and content areas			
			\$1,500			
Scope of				Scope of		
service:				service:		
ALL				ALL		
	X English Learners designated fluent Englis Specify)			X Foster Youth X	ils <u>X</u> English Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
and expenditures result of reviewi	actions, services, will be made as a ng past progress ges to goals?	Goal has bee	en moved to new (goal #4, to align with	District Strategic Plan.	

GŎAL	SOAL 10: ncrease parent participation by engaging them in their	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3 <u>X</u> 4 5 <u>X</u> 6 <u>X</u> 7 8 COE only: 9 10		
LCAP:				Local : Specify
Goal Applies	to: Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Students			
	Increased parent participation in parent education offerings, informational meetings and parent workshops Metric: Pupil Suspension / Expulsion Rates Parent Survey School Site Survey California Healthy Kids Survey (CHKS)		Pupil Suspension Expulsion Rates	The 2014 NUSD Suspension Rate was 3.2% (265 students suspended) and the Expulsion Rate was 0.0% (2 students expelled) The 2013 NUSD Suspension Rate was 4.5% (380 students suspended) and the Expulsion Rate was 0.0% (3 students expelled)
Expected Annual Measurable		Actual Annual Measurable	Parent Survey	Parents were provided with the opportunity to complete a survey in the Spring of 2015 on a range of topics, including providing feedback regarding opportunities for participation in their child's learning. 563 parents responded to the survey.
Outcomes:		Outcomes:	School Site Surve	School sites regularly survey stakeholders on a variety of topics including opportunities for involvement. Results of the surveys are used to inform decision making
			California Healthy Kids Survey (CHKS)	The CHKS is administered every two years to students in grades 5,7,9 and 11. CHKS results provide data that can assist schools in fostering a positive school climate and preventing heal-risk behaviors. Results from the CHKS may be found on the district website

LCAP Year: 2014-15					
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services			
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures		
Identify preferred methods of communication for all families Conduct parent surveys regarding communication, pupil engagement and safety	Community Liaisons \$250,215 Bilingual Tutors \$135,000 Aeries and Naviance training for parents \$30,000	Community Liaisons FTE increased from 2.2 FTE in 2013-14 to 4.6 FTE in 2014-15. Total estimated cost for Community for 2014-15. 152 students are participating in Title I tutoring services Aeries and Naviance training for parents is occurring in 2014-15. Total cost of the Naviance software program is \$31,965.	\$214,542 \$106,978 \$31,965		
Scope of service: XALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		Scope of service: _X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
Increase family involvement through parent outreach and support to increase student's learning	Increase the use of Bilingual Community Liaisons \$250,215	Community Liaisons increased from 2.2 FTE in 2013-14 to 4.6 FTE in 2014-15. Total cost is \$214,542	\$214,542		
Scope of service: ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		Scope of service: ALL OR:X Low Income pupilsX English LearnersX Foster YouthX Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			

Goal has been reworded and is now goal #8: Increase parent participation by engaging them in their child's learning activities and providing parent education opportunities to support student learning. Change was a result of the Needs Assessment and alignment to District Strategic Plan

GŎAL	Ionitor attendance/absenteeism and provide intervent Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Students	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3X 4 5X 6X 7 8 COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify		
	Student attendance will increase		Attendance Rate	The NUSD Attendance Rate is 93.2%
	Decrease in student tardiness Decrease in dropout rates		Chronic Absenteeism Rates	The NUSD Chronic Absenteeism rate for 2014 is 4.93%
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Metric: • Attendance Reports • Chronic Absenteeism Rates • Pupil Suspension / Expulsion Rates • Dropout Rates	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Pupil Suspension/Expul ion Rates	The 2014 NUSD Suspension Rate was 3.2% (265 students suspended) and the Expulsion Rate was 0.0% (2 students expelled) The 2013 NUSD Suspension Rate was 4.5% (380 students suspended) and the Expulsion Rate was 0.0% (3 students expelled)
			Dropout Rates Middle School Dropout Rates High School	The NUSD Middle School Dropout Rate for 2013 is 0.0% (more current data not available) The NUSD High School Dropout Rate for 2013 is 4.2% (more current data not available)

	LCAP Ye	ar: 2014-15
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services
	Budgeted Expenditures	Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
Monitor attendance/absenteeism	SART & SARB	Community Liaisons FTE increased from 2.2 FTE in 2013- \$214,542
Continued vendor relationship with North Bay Security to	\$125,000	14 to 4.6 FTE in 2014-15. Total estimated cost for Community for 2014-15.
provide data, home visits, and intervention	Community Liaisons	Entered into a 3 year contract with North Bay Security \$244,358
	\$250,215	
	North Bay Security	
	\$244,358	
Scope of service:		Scope of service:
XALL	-	_X_ALL
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)
Increase student achievement through increased	Utilize Aeries to	Aeries was continued to be used to monitor student
attendance	continue monitoring of students' attendance	Student Attendance Review Teams continued
	Student Attendance Review Teams Provide students counseling (individual or groups) when appropriate	

Scope of	Scope of
service:	service:
ALL	ALL
OR:	OR:
XLow Income pupils X English Learners	_X Low Income pupils _X English Learners
X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient	_X Foster Youth _X Re-designated fluent English proficient
Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Other Subgroups:(Specify)
What changes in actions, services,	

what changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

Goal has been combined with goals #3 and #8 into a new goal #: Provide instruction that ensures that all students have the opportunity to make one year's growth in one year's time Result of realignment with District Strategic Plan and Needs Assessment.

	GOAL 12 :			Related State and/or Local Priorities:			
from prior	Strengthen community partnerships to provide additional services to students and families 1_ 2_ 3X 4_ 5X 6X 7_ 8_ COE only: 9_ 10_						
year LCAP:	Local : Specify						
Goal Applies	Goal Applies to: Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Students						
	Increase student attendance and engagement in school		Number of familie participating in fo				
	Metric: Number of families participating in food banks		banks	sites host food banks, serving 315 families in 2014			
	 Student participation in community organization Pupil Suspension / Expulsion Rates Number of students participating in counseling services 		Student participation community organization	Students participate in a wide range of community organizations. Data metric under development.			
Expected Annual Measurable	Number of families being served by community liaison	Actual Annual Measurable	Pupil Suspension/Expu on Rates	The 2014 NUSD Suspension Rate was 3.2% (265 students suspended) and the Expulsion Rate was 0.0% (2 students expelled) The 2013 NUSD Suspension Rate was 4.5% (380 students suspended) and the Expulsion Rate was 0.0% (3 students expelled)			
Outcomes:		Outcomes:	Number of studer participating in counseling service	to students. Parents authorize			
			Number of familie being served by community liaisor	serving school sites in the district.			

	LCAP Ye	ar: 2014-15		
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services		
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
Continue and expand partnerships with community agencies to ensure youth and families are connected to safety net services	Community Liaisons \$250,215	Community Liaisons FTE increased from 2.2 FTE in 2013- 14 to 4.6 FTE in 2014-15. Total estimated cost for Community for 2014-15.	\$214,542	
	Counseling services	A new Boys & Girls Club opened at San Jose Middle School with participation of 50-70 students attending daily		
	Partnerships with YMCA & Boys and Girls Club San Francisco and Marin Food Bank	Partnership with San Francisco and Marin Food Bank continued at the same level		
Scope of service:		Scope of service:		
XALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		

Increase services to students in need to provide essentials such as food, afterschool opportunities and other meaningful family services	Continue San Francisco and Marin Food Bank program Continue Algebra Academy for incoming 9 th grade students \$10,000 Continue or develop partnerships with YMCA, the Boys & Girls Club and others	Algebra Academy for incoming 9 th graders with 16 participating in 2014.	
Scope of service:		Scope of service:	
ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?	ith the District Stra	ategic Plan, now goal #9.	

Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, school wide, countywide, or charter wide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a school site in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a district-wide or school-wide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for <u>unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas</u>. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated:

\$2,997,954

(To be completed once process is complete for 2014-15)

Based on the Governor's May Revise, released May 14, 2015, NUSD supplemental funding is estimated at \$2,997,954 for the 2015-16 year. The District does not qualify for concentration funds, as the count of unduplicated students is below 55% district-wide (see attached table).

District-wide services include the funding of 6 FTE instructional coaches, 4 FTE teachers due to the lowering of class size in grades 4/5, 1 FTE English Learner coordinator, 1 new FTE nurse, diversity training for teachers, administrators, and staff by the National Equity Project, English Language Development program through the Kevin Clark Consulting group at the secondary sites, an intervention specialist through North Bay Security, 3 FTE for the AVID program, 2 FTE for the JROTC program, and the 40 cents meal contribution for reduced meal as part of the Free and Reduced Meal program, and summer school programs for Elementary (Camp University), Secondary (Newcomer Program and Algebra Academy).

District-wide use of these supplemental funds are the most effective use of these funds as instructional strategies are directed principally towards the English learners and low-income students as delivered through instructional coaches, the EL coordinator, additional nursing services, program development for English learners, summer school programs targeting students with the most educational needs as demonstrated through testing on the CELDT test, diversity training for teachers, administrators, and staff, and funding of the reduced meal price. These strategies were implemented and are being implemented based on written results from other districts (National Equity Project, Kevin Clark Consulting, EL Coordinator), and prior district experience as measured by multiple assessments and increased meal participation (JROTC, AVID, Intervention specialist, Camp University, Newcomer program, Algebra Academy, North Bay Security Group, reduced meal contribution).

School sites do receive supplemental funds to support site-specific activities that are directed towards English Learners and Low-Income students.

Pleasant Valley Elementary, Rancho Elementary, San Ramon Elementary, Sinaloa Middle, San Marin High, Novato High and the NOVA Education Center are NUSD schools that have less than 40% unduplicated student counts. These sites will direct this funding towards Cultural Competency training for

staff, release time for teachers to be trained in GLAD, PBL and SIOP. Teachers will also be provided release time to plan integrated units/projects that utilize these strategic teaching strategies and fully implement rigorous curriculum aligned with Common Core State Standards (State Priority 2 & 4). Funding will also be directed to provide support for families to navigate the school system and to access-to-access resources from community agencies and local businesses through the use of Bilingual Community Liaisons (State Priority 3). The school sites will also utilize this additional funding to provide before and after school academic and homework support to students in need of intervention (State Priority 4). The use of strategic teaching strategies and pedagogies such as PBL, GLAD and SIOP are specifically geared to differentiate curriculum and instruction to meet the needs of our foster youth, English Learners and students in low-income households. Additionally, Community Liaisons will specifically address the needs of these students. Finally, the after/before school and tutoring services are also directed in a similar manner. (State Priority 2,3 &4) Novato Unified determined that this is the most effective use of the funding for the schools with less than 40% unduplicated student population because research demonstrates that increased parent engagement increases student achievement and school success. Additionally, the effective use and implementation of specific language acquisition strategies (PBL, SIOP and GLAD) provide students with the necessary tools and skills to access a rigorous core curriculum.

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

5.35 %

NUSD defines Core Services as classroom teachers as defined by NUSD's staffing allocation as follows:

TK-3 22:1

4-5 27.5:1

6-8 30:1

9-12 30.5:1

Supplemental services are provided to students using a variety of intervention strategies. The attached table shows that NUSD Core instructional Program is projected to have 381.2 Full Time Equivalents (FTE) teachers and nurses assigned to general and special education. The FTE for increased services and programs are projected at 38.94 FTE for 2015-16. This represents supplemental services for the English Learners, Low Income and Foster Youth students at 10.22% in addition to the Core Instructional Program offered.

Additional or increased services include six FTE Instructional coaches, four additional teachers due to lowering of class to 25:1 at the 4th and 5th grade level, 3.2 FTE teachers at the secondary level due to additional sections for English Learners, one new ELD teacher at Hill Education Center, one additional nurse, one new English Learner coordinator, 3 FTE teachers for the AVID program, 2 FTE teachers for the JROTC program, 7.1 FTE specialist providing various intervention services at the school sites, 4.6 community liaisons, 3.04 FTE EL Para educators, along with the new contract with Kevin Clark Consulting to provide English Language Development program, the partnering with the National Equity Program to provide diversity training to teachers, administrators, and staff, and increased mental health counseling services at the school sites, district contribution of a 40 cent meal contribution for reduced meals, and summer programs including increased enrollment for Camp University, the newcomer program and the Algebra Academy.

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference: Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5, 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section 6312.

LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

Local Control Accountability Plan Supplemental Services

2014/15 and 2015/16

Core Program	2014-15	2015-16
Number of "Core " Classroom teachers - General Education	332.4	335
Number of Number of "Core " Classroom teachers - Special Education	41.4	43.4
Number of "Core" Nurses - General Education	1.2	1.2
Number of "Core" Nurse - Special Education	1.6	1.6
Total "Core" Certificated Staff	376.6	381.2
Supplemental Services		
Certificated		
Instructional Coaches	6.6	6
Extra teachers due to reduction of class size in 4/5 grades	4	4
Extra sections at Secondary due to expanded EL classes	3.7	3.2
New English language Development (ELD) teacher at Hill	0	1
Nurse	0	1
English learner (EL) Coordinator	0	1
Learning Center Intervention Specialist	2.8	2.8
Reading Specialist	0.6	0.6
ELD Intervention Specialists	1.5	1.7
Literacy Coach	3	3
AVID	3	3
ROTC	2	2
Other Staff (Intervention)	7	2
Classified		
Community Liaisons	4.6	4.6
Intervention Para educator	<u>3.04</u>	<u>3.04</u>
Total Supplemental Staff	<u>41.84</u>	<u>38.94</u>
Supplemental as compared to Core	11.11%	10.22%
Camp University Summer Program)	\$21,830	\$85,000
Newcomer Program (Summer Program)	\$40,750	\$44,730
Algebra Academy (Summer Program)	\$5,000	\$5,000
Contractors		
Intervention Specialist (North Bay Security)	\$55,111	\$55,111
National Equity Program	\$12,000	\$88,000
English Language Development Program	•	,
and teacher training (Kevin Clark Consulting)	\$19,000	\$65,500
Mental Health Counselors	\$216,650	\$245,850
Contribution of reduced fee (40 cents) for		
Free and Reduced Meal Program	\$0	\$40,000

Local Control Accountability Plan Supplemental Services 2015-2016

TABLE B

\$3,300,131

2015-2016	Avg Salary	
Core Program	2015-16	\$75,000
Number of "Core " Classroom teachers - General Education	335	
Number of Number of "Core " Classroom teachers - Special Education	43.4	
Number of "Core" Nurses - General Education	1.2	
Number of "Core" Nurse - Special Education	1.6	
Total "Core" Certificated Staff	381.2	<u>\$28,590,000</u>
Supplemental Services		
Certificated		
Instructional Coaches	6	\$450,000
Extra teachers due to reduction of class size in 4/5 grades	4	\$300,000
Extra sections at Secondary due to expanded EL classes	3.2	\$240,000
New English language Development (ELD) teacher at Hill	1	\$75,000
Nurse	1	\$85,500
English learner (EL) Coordinator	1	\$90,000
Learning Center Intervention Specialist	2.8	\$210,000
Reading Specialist	0.6	\$45,000
ELD Intervention Specialists	1.7	\$127,500
Literacy Coach	3	\$225,000
AVID	3	\$225,000
ROTC	2	\$150,000
Other Staff (Intervention)	2	\$150,000
Classified		
Community Liaisons	4.6	\$206,740
Intervention Para educator	<u>3.04</u>	\$91,200
Total Supplemental Staff	<u>38.94</u>	<u>\$2,670,940</u>
Supplemental as compared to Core	10.22%	11.54%
Camp University (Summer Program)	\$85,000	\$85,000
Newcomer Program (Summer Program)	\$44,730	\$44,730
Algebra Academy (Summer Program)	\$5,000	\$5,000
Contractors		
Intervention Specialist (North Bay Security)	\$55,111	\$55,111
National Equity Program	\$88,000	\$88,000
English Language Development Program	7 - 2,5 - 2	, ,
and teacher training (Kevin Clark Consulting)	\$65,500	\$65,500
Mental Health Counselors	\$245,850	\$245,850
Contribution of reduced fee (40 cents) for	+ = -5,550	+ = .5,655
Free and Reduced Meal Program	\$40,000	\$40,000

Total Supplementary Expenditures

Goal	Updated version of action item	SACS Funding Source Reference	Year 1 2015-16 (Preliminary unapproved budget)	Year 2 2016-17	Year 3 2017-18	Comment
Goal 1: Effectively Implement the Common Core State Standards	Instructional coaches to provide imbedded professional development in the implementation of CCSS	Object 1196/Resource 0290	\$561,223 ¹	\$569,641	\$500,000	
	Purchase of Common Core State Standards materials	Resource 7405/ object all 4xxxx	\$0	\$0	\$0	Funding to be determined locally based on May Revise of State Budget
	Increase the participation level of sub- groups in specialized programs such as STEM, AVID, GATE, MSA, AP courses	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	
	Community Liaisons	0290,4203,9284,9285 /LCFF, Title III, MCF	\$206,740 ²	\$210,875	\$215,093	
	Career Counselors at Middle School (Deans)	Resource 9050, object 1210	\$306,694	\$312,828	\$312,828	Need being analyzed currently. Cost to be determined.
	Maintain level of instructional leaders to guide instruction	All certificated admin, except Spec Ed	\$4,639,272 ³	\$4,708,861	\$4,779,494	
	Class sizes will be monitored	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	
	Grades 4/5 will be moved to an average of 27 (Increase of 4 FTE certificated)	0290 (could be more costs at year end); \$65,000 each x 4	\$300,000 ³	\$304,500	\$309,068	
Goal 2: Provide instruction that ensures that all students have the opportunity to make one year's growth in one year's time	Increase student achievement through increased attendance (Aeries) and Monitor attendance/absenteeism (SART/SARB)	Function 3131/\$810; portion of Lynn Erikson Salary \$10,000, and portion of NBSG Contract \$75,000	\$85,810 ⁴	\$87,097	\$88,404	
	Community Liaisons	0290,4203,9284,9285 /LCFF, Title III, MCF	\$206,740 ²	\$210,875	\$215,093	
	Continued vendor relationship with North Bay Security to provide data, home visits, and intervention	North Bay Security Group- Vendor #113592; PO 150362/Resource 0000, 9352	\$255,111 ⁴	\$262,764	\$270,647	

6/12/15 Page 1 of 7

Goal	Updated version of action item	SACS Funding Source Reference	Year 1 2015-16 (Preliminary unapproved budget)	Year 2 2016-17	Year 3 2017-18	Comment
instruction that ensures that all students have the opportunity to make one year's growth in one year's time (continued)	additional ELD support classes at the high schools, and a 1.0 ELD teacher for the Hill	Resource 0290/ SJ=.6(50,027); SMS=.4(\$34,775); NHS-1.0 (\$70,233); SMHS2(19,255)	\$247,424 ³	\$317,604	\$322,368	
	Provide Special Education services to students with Individualized Education Plans (IEP's)	SpEd and Mental Health excluding EI (6500,0000,6513,3310,3327)	\$10,939,926 ³	\$11,104,025	\$12,231,083	
	Provide ongoing professional development	Function 2140/All resources (Megan ran \$# 3/25/15)	\$368,055 ⁵	\$500,000	\$300,000	CCSS Professional Development TBD locally based on May Revise of State Budget
Goal 3: Increase professional	Instructional coaches to provide staff development in the use of technology in the classroom	Object 1196/Goal 1111/Resource 0290	\$561,223 ¹	\$569,641	\$500,000	
0 0	Access to Comcast reduced internet service for academic support at home	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	
cultural competency and proficiency	Ongoing professional development in GLAD, SIOP, the National Equity Project, and diversity	GLADV#113265/Resource 7405/\$545; SIOP V#105315/Resource 4203/\$29,800; Equity V#11358/Resource 3010, 4035, 7091, 0290 /\$20,100; 15/16 amount based on NEP contract cost for 15/16 and same costs for GLAD and SIOP	\$118,345 ⁵	\$200,000	\$200,000	
students regularly to evaluate levels of	Improve pupil achievement scores on learning assessment among all subgroups including high need students groups.	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	costs included with other action items
proficiency and determine individual learning needs for targeted intervention	Teachers will implement the CCSS curriculum and assess student progress regularly based on CCSS and state assessments	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	costs included with other action items

6/12/15 Page 2 of 7

Goal	Updated version of action item	SACS Funding Source Reference	Year 1 2015-16 (Preliminary unapproved budget)	Year 2 2016-17	Year 3 2017-18	Comment
	Partnership with Bay Area Writing Project & Silicon Valley Math Initiative	Bay Area Writing Project- Vendor #102559 Silicon Valley Math Initiative-Vendor #114683 Resource 4035; cost for 15/16 TBD/based on 14/15 SVMI	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	
	Continue to update and monitor individual student information for testing and compliance reporting	Res: 0000 Object: 2210	\$95,011 ³	\$96,911	\$98,849	
Goal 4: Assess students regularly to	Teacher release time for collaboration focused common assessments		\$75,735 ⁵	\$76,871	\$84,942	Includes the new assessment committees at both elementary and secondary for math and ELA
evaluate levels of proficiency and determine individual	Maintain early intervention practices for English Learners and Special Education students		(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	costs included with other action items
learning needs for targeted intervention (continued)	Purchase Aeries Analytics	cost of Aeries Analytics/Eagle Software V#112384; training costs for implm \$2,747.24/Resource 0300	\$42,000	\$43,260	\$44,558	Shift from Aeries Analytics to EADMS based on need.
	Expand the implementation of MAP at elementary and middle schools	cost of MAP contract/Northwest Evaluation V#112632/PO#150727/Resource 3010	\$68,000	\$70,040	\$72,141	
	Provide Site Tech Mentors to assist with technology integration in the classroom	Tech Mentor Stipends/Object 1160 Goal 1150/Resource 0000	\$16,020 ³	\$16,340	\$16,667	
	Provide IT support for technology integration, data collection and analysis, and the maintenance of computer hardware and software	Tech 1/Tech 2/Tech 3 salaries, includes tech mentor stipends, extra time budgeted for technology and IT management	\$1,373,355 ³	\$1,400,822	\$1,428,839	

6/12/15 Page 3 of 7

Goal	Updated version of action item	SACS Funding Source Reference	Year 1 2015-16 (Preliminary unapproved budget)	Year 2 2016-17	Year 3 2017-18	Comment
Goal 5: Identify, attract, retain, and build the capacity of teachers, leaders and classified staff to provide an innovative educational experience for all students	Review quarterly and continue monitor the Credential Monitoring Report (MCOE)	HR personnel	\$80,368 ³	\$81,975	\$83,615	
	Continue to compare salaries and benefits to comparative and regional districts in order to maintain a competitive salary and benefit schedule	All Sal/Ben as of 2nd interim (all sources URGF&RGF) should any costs, sub, hourly, stipend be excluded?	\$61,661,047 ⁵	\$62,190,113	\$62,859,064	
	Seek and hire more Bilingual employees through attendance at hiring fairs at strategic location	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	costs included with other action items
	Provide conversational Spanish classes for employees	Resource 4035	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	Title II
	Increase the maintenance of bathrooms	Equipment purchase in 2015-16	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	Equipment was purchased
Goal 6: Increase the frequency of maintenance and update facilities to provide a clean, safe learning environment	Maintain clean and safe facilities	Function 8100-8299/Resource 000,0030,0060,0230,8150,9042/Just M&O and Grounds=\$6,335,448; additional \$677,293 for 83XX safety, NBSG, campus supervision. 15/16 \$6,561,808 + \$677,447	\$7,239,101 ³	\$7,383,883	\$7,531,561	
	Analyze data to determine training and staffing needs		(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	
	Open facilities to families who need additional instruction or the use of technology (computers, etc.)	no cost at this time	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	

6/12/15 Page 4 of 7

Goal	Updated version of action item	SACS Funding Source Reference	Year 1 2015-16 (Preliminary unapproved budget)	Year 2 2016-17	Year 3 2017-18	Comment
	Analyze data to determine if current level of service is adequate to meet the needs of all students (Guidance Counseling)	Function 3110 (Cert Only) Resouce 9050, 0300,0290,3010	\$1,035,738 ³	\$1,051,274	\$1,067,043	
	Provide outreach and training parents regarding the use of Naviance to monitor and support student post secondary plans	Naviance contract/Hobsons V#800323/ Resource 0300,9046 (includes 14/15 contract paid 4/22/14)	\$26,300	\$26,300	\$26,300	
	Expand and enhance CTE programs at the secondary level	Goal 1470	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	
study and strengthen the college and career readiness program for	Community Liaisons	0290,4203,9284,9285 /LCFF, Title III, MCF	\$206,740 ²	\$210,875	\$215,093	
all students	Implement Camp University, EL Newcomer Academy, and Algebra Academy	Local: #060 (Camp Univ); 091 (EL Summer Acad; 15/16 based on what was presented at community forum	\$82,115	\$90,000	\$90,000	
	AVID Program at secondary level	AVID Contract/V#108142 /Resource 3010; (14/15 staff cost based on 3.0 FTE/\$65,000 Ave salary)	\$276,482 ³	\$280,629	\$284,839	
	Early Intervention Program	Goal 5730/Resource 3310,3315,3320,6500)	\$731,384 ³	\$742,355	\$753,490	

6/12/15 Page 5 of 7

Goal	Updated version of action item	SACS Funding Source Reference	Year 1 2015-16 (Preliminary unapproved budget)	Year 2 2016-17	Year 3 2017-18	Comment
engaging them in their child's learning activities and providing parent education opportunities	Identify preferred methods of communication for all families	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	
	Conduct parent surveys regarding communication, pupil engagement and safety	PIO Salary/ Object 2319	\$112,567 ³	\$114,818	\$117,115	
	Community Liaisons	0290,4203,9284,9285 /LCFF, Title III, MCF	\$206,740 ²	\$210,875	\$215,093	
	Bilingual Tutors		\$106,978	\$110,000	\$110,000	Title I Tutoring
	Provide outreach and training parents regarding the use of Naviance to monitor and support student post secondary plans	Naviance contract/Hobsons V#800323/ Resource 0300,9046 (includes 14/15 contract paid 4/22/14)	\$26,300	\$26,300	\$26,300	
Goal 9: Strengthen community partnerships to provide additional services to students and families	Continue and expand partnerships with community agencies to ensure youth and families are connected to safety net services	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	costs included with other action items
	Community Liaisons	0290,4203,9284,9285 /LCFF, Title III, MCF	\$206,740 ²	\$210,875	\$215,093	
	Social-Emotional Counseling Services	NYC V#100401 (\$155,177) and Partners V#107236 (\$94,000); 15/16 as presented at community forum	\$245,850	\$250,000	\$250,000	
	Partnerships with YMCA and Boys and Girls Club of San Francisco	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	
	Increase services to students in need to provide essentials such as food, afterschool opportunities and other meaningful family services	Resource 0000: GF Contribution Trans Food Svs for programs \$7,394 and GF cont \$131,570	\$178,964	\$178,964	\$178,964	Includes the contribution of 40 cnets per meal and GF contribution to FANS
	Continue San Francisco and Marin Food Bank Program	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	

6/12/15 Page 6 of 7

Goal	Updated version of action item	SACS Funding Source Reference	Year 1 2015-16 (Preliminary unapproved budget)	Year 2 2016-17	Year 3 2017-18	Comment
Goal 10: Meet the needs of our underserved population with additional staff, resources and engagement.	Increase family involvement through parent outreach and support to increase student's learning	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	(no cost)	costs included with other action items
	Community Liaisons	0290,4203,9284,9285 /LCFF, Title III, MCF	\$206,740 ²	\$210,875	\$215,093	
	Increased number of sections at secondary level to provide specialized instruction	teacher costs/ base 2014-15 \$65,000 per teacher or \$13,000 per section; 15/16 as reported by Karen at community forum	\$247,424 ³	\$317,604	\$322,368	
	EL Coordinator	Position starts 7/1/15	\$114,326 ³	\$116,041	\$117,782	
	Bilingual Tutors	Title I tutoring. 15/16 cost based on 14/15 expenditure	\$106,978	\$110,000	\$110,000	Title I tutoring
	Consultants (Kevin Clark) to inform EL program		\$65,500 ⁵	\$100,000	\$100,000	
	National Equity Project	National Equity Project /costs included in Goal 3 Vendor #11358	\$88,000 ⁵	\$100,000	\$100,000	

¹ Instructional Coaches: listed in goals 1, 3, 5

Page 7 of 7 6/12/15

² Community Liaisons: listed in goals 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10

³ The following costs are also listed under the total salaries and benefits listed under Goal 5: Instructional leaders (Goal 1), Instructional Coaches (Goals 1,3,5), Community Liaisons (Goals 1,2,5,7,8,9,10), additional teachers to reduce class size (Goal 2), additional staffing for ELD (Goal 2), Special Education staffing (Goal 2), student information maintenance (Goal 4), Tech Mentor Stipends (Goal 4), IT support (Goal 4, monitoring of credentials (Goal 5, maintenance/operations salary (Goal 6), guidance counselor (Goal 7), Early Intervention Salary/Benefits (Goal 7), communication salary/benefits (goal 8), Increased sections for secondary specialized instruction (Goal 10)

⁴ Costs included include \$10,000 of cost already included in Instructional Leadership and Overall Salary costs; \$75,000 of North Bay Security Group Contract included (also listed in full cost/different action item for Goal 2)

⁵ Includes Professional Development related to Common Core Implementation (Goal 1), Professional Development (Goal 3), Release time (Goal 4), Aeries implementation (Goal 4), Diversity (Goal 10)

⁶ Cost of Naviance listed in Goals 7 and 8